

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/518,785 | 12/20/2004 | Fritz Gyger | P/1336-192 | 3129 | |
| 2352 7590 65/14/2008 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | MYERS, JESSICA L | | |
| NEW YORK, | NY 100368403 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3746 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/14/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) GYGER, FRITZ 10/518,785 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

| | | JESSICA L. MYERS | 3746 | |
|---|--|---|--|--------------|
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress |
| A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DI- Mission of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GH 1.1 SK (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of the communication. SK (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of the communication period for perily is specified above. The maximum statutory period ver te to reply within the set or estanded period for reply will, by standard and patient term deginents. See 37 GF 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | , |
| Status | | | | |
| 2a)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{20D}$. This action is FINAL . $2b$ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | e merits is |
| Disnositi | ion of Claims | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) 24-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 24-49 are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Ci | FR 1.121(d). |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12)⊠ a)j | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All bh Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau. | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National | Stage |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | |

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___ 6) Other: _____. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080424

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/518,785

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Claim(s) 24-25, and 27-28, drawn to a piston seal as shown in figure 4, classified in class 92, subclass 240.
- Claim(s) 30-35, drawn to a piston length adjuster as shown in figure 1, classified in class 92, subclass 60.5.
- Claim(s) 36, drawn to a pulsation chamber, classified in class 417, subclass 254.
- Claim(s) 37, drawn to a method of adjusting a piston to remove dead space, classified in class 92, subclass 60.5.
- Claim(s) 38, 49, and 41 drawn to an additional piston to remove dead Space, classified in class 92, subclass 60.5.
- Claim(s) 42-49, drawn to an access bore with sealing surfaces shown in figures 6-8, classified in class 417, subclass 557.
- The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III, IV, V, and VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 3746

3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Group II could be used as an adjustment device for height adjustable canes or crutches. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of Group I (the piston seal) has separate utility such as being used in conjunction with a cork or stopper to plug a bottle or pipe. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. The inventions of Group I (a piston seal) and Group IV (a method of adjusting piston length) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as being used together, since the steps in the method of adjusting piston length do not include the piston seal of Group I.
- 6. The inventions of Group I (a piston seal) and Group V (an additional piston to remove dead space) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of Group I (the piston seal) has

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/518,785

Art Unit: 3746

separate utility such as being used in conjunction with a cork or stopper to plug a bottle or pipe. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 7. The inventions in Group I (a piston seal) and Group VI (an access bore with sealing surfaces) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of Group I (the piston seal) has separate utility such as being used in conjunction with a cork or stopper to plug a bottle or pipe. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 8. The inventions of Group II (a piston length adjuster) and Group III (a pulsation chamber) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Group II could be used as an adjustment device for height adjustable canes or crutches. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 9. The inventions of Group II (a piston length adjuster) and Group IV (a method of adjusting piston length) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the method of adjusting piston length could be used to adjust the height of a cane or of adjustable crutches.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/518,785
Art Unit: 3746

10. The inventions of Group II (a piston length adjuster) and Group V (an additional piston to remove dead space) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Group II could be used as an adjustment device for height adjustable canes or crutches. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 11. The inventions II (a piston length adjuster) and VI (an access bore with sealing surfaces) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Group II could be used as an adjustment device for height adjustable canes or crutches. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 12. The inventions of Group III (a pulsation chamber) and Group IV (a method of adjusting piston length) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as being used together, since the steps in the method of adjusting piston length do not include the pulsation chamber of Group III.
- 13. The inventions of Group III (a pulsation chamber) and Group V (an additional piston to remove dead space) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one

Art Unit: 3746

subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of Group V could be used to adjust the volume of a reservoir or to pump fluid from a reservoir by itself without the aid of the main piston and without a pulsation chamber. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 14. The inventions in Group III (a pulsation chamber) and Group VI (an access bore with sealing surfaces) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of Group VI (an access bore) could be used to aid in repairs of the apparatus by serving as a way to insert tools into the device or to allow the inside of the device to be inspected visually. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 15. The inventions of Group IV (a method of adjusting piston length) and Group V (an additional piston to remove dead space) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as being used together, since the steps in the method of adjusting piston length do not include the additional piston to remove dead space of Group V.
- 16. The inventions of Group IV (a method of adjusting piston length) and Group VI (an access bore with sealing surfaces) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different

Art Unit: 3746

designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as being used together, since the steps in the method of adjusting piston length do not include the access bore with sealing surfaces of Group VI.

- 17. The inventions of Group V (an additional piston to remove dead space) and Group VI (an access bore with sealing surfaces) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of Group VI (an access bore) could be used to aid in repairs of the apparatus by serving as a way to insert tools into the device or to allow the inside of the device to be inspected visually. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 18. The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,785

Art Unit: 3746

19. A telephone call was made to Mr. Robert Faber on April 24, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

20. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to

Art Unit: 3746

be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JESSICA L. MYERS whose telephone number is (571)270-5059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30am to 5:30pm EST.

21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on 571-272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3683

/JLM